ORIGINAL

COLE. RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SECOND FLOOR

1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3458

(202) 659-9750

ALAN RAYWID (1930-1991)

CABLE ADDRESS "CRAB"

TELECOPIER (202) 452-0067

September 13, 1995

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL (202) 828-9620

September 13, 1993

RECEIVED

SEP 1 3 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF AUGUSTARY

CHRISTOPHER T. MCGOWAN°

* ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA ONLY

JOHN P. COLE, JR. BURT A. BRAVERMAN ROBERT L. JAMES JOSEPH R. REIFER

JOHN D. SEIVER

FRANCES J. CHETWYND

WESLEY R. HEPPLER PAUL GLIST

DAVID M. SILVERMAN JAMES F. IRELAND, III

CHRISTOPHER W. SAVAGE

SUSAN WHELAN WESTFALL THERESA A. ZETERBERG STEPHEN L. KABLER

STEVEN I HORVITZ

ANN FLOWERS ROBERT G. SCOTT, JR.

JOHN C. DODGE

FREDERICK W. GIROUX JOHN DAVIDSON THOMAS MARIA T. BROWNE DONNA C. RATTLEY THOMAS SCOTT THOMPSON

JENNIFER L. KEEFE

BY HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable John M. Frysiak Administrative Law Judge Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W. Room 223 Washington, DC 20554 c 95,93

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re:

TeleCable of Piedmont, Inc.; Cencom Cable Income Partners, II, L.P., Cencom Cable Entertainment, Inc., and Cencom Cable Television, Inc.; TeleCable of Spartanburg, Inc., and TeleCable of Greenville, Inc. v. Duke Power Company PA 90-0003, PA 91-0001, PA 91-0002

Dear Judge Frysiak:

Pursuant to the procedural schedule in this proceeding, Complainants submit the form of Settlement Agreement between Complainants, TeleCable of Piedmont, Inc.; TeleCable of Spartanburg, Inc.; and TeleCable of Greenville, Inc. (collectively "TeleCable") and Duke Power Company ("Duke"). Although the Settlement Agreement has not been finalized, TeleCable and Duke have agreed upon the rates and refund amounts set forth in paragraph 4 of the attached draft Settlement Agreement. The form of Settlement Agreement is substantially the same as that used to resolve all other pole cases which were designated for hearing. We expect to finalize this Settlement Agreement by September 15, 1995, after which we will file a Motion for Entry of Stipulated Order as to issues between TeleCable and Duke.

No. of Copies rec'd O+6
Ust A F C D E A L J

COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, L.L.P.

The Honorable John M. Frysiak September 13, 1995 Page -2-

Complainants, Cencom Cable Income Partners, II, L.P.; Cencom Cable Entertainment, Inc.; and Cencom Cable Television, Inc. (collectively "Cencom") and Duke have also agreed on all underlying rates at issue in this proceeding which are the same as those set forth in the attached Settlement Agreement. However, Duke has not yet been able to confirm amounts paid, as shown on invoices that have been provided to it by Cencom, from which refund amounts may be calculated. Cencom's calculation is set forth in the attached spreadsheet. We are optimistic that Duke will be able to expeditiously complete its analysis and confirm such invoices, however, it may become necessary to proceed to hearing on this limited issue.

Sincerely,

Paul Glist

Frederick W Giroux

Enclosure(s)

cc: Parties (w/enc.)

Form of Settlement Agreement

between

TeleCable of Piedmont, Inc.; TeleCable of Spartanburg, Inc.; and TeleCable of Greenville, Inc.

and

Duke Power Company

DRAFT

Before the Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	CC DOCKET NO.	95-93
TeleCable of Piedmont, Inc.,)	PA 91-0001	
TeleCable of Spartanburg, Inc. and TeleCable of Greenville, Inc.;)	PA 91-0002	the state of the s
Cencom Cable Income Partners, II, L.P., Cencom Cable Entertainment, Inc., and)	PA 91-0003	RECEIVED
Cencom Cable Television, Inc.)		SEP 1 3 1995
Complainants,)		ERAL CORNER WILL STIFT SOMETIMES OF
v.)		**************************************
Duke Power Company,)		
Respondent)))		

TO: The Honorable John M. Frysiak Administrative Law Judge

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This settlement agreement is made and entered into as of September _____, 1995, by and between TeleCable of Piedmont, Inc., TeleCable of Spartanburg, Inc. and TeleCable of Greenville, Inc. (collectively, "TeleCable") and Duke Power Company who are parties to the Pole Attachment Complaint now pending before the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") as CC Docket No. 95-93, PA 91-0001-91-0003



In consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. TeleCable executes this agreement for itself, its successors, assignees and anyone or any entity claiming through it for TeleCable's claims asserted in this case. This agreement does not affect present or future claims by TeleCable, its predecessors, successors, assignees, subsidiaries or affiliates which concern matters other than concerning Duke Power Company pole attachment rates for 1990 through 1995.
- 2. Duke Power Company executes this agreement for itself, its successors, assignees, and anyone or any entity claiming through it
- 3. This agreement does not affect the present or future participation of any of the parties hereto in rulemaking or other similar non-complaint proceedings related to the Act.
- 4. In full and final settlement of TeleCable's claims concerning Duke Power Company's pole attachment rates at issue in this case, the parties agree as follows:
 - a. The rate of \$ 4.23 will be applied from 11/15/90 to 12/31/90; \$ 4.53 for 1991; \$4.62 for 1992; \$ 4.53 for 1993; \$ 5.15 for 1994; and \$ 5.16 for 1995.
 - b. Duke will modify its billing and rate setting process as follows:

 Duke will continue to bill semi-annually in January and July;

 changes in rates will be announced with at least 60 days notice

 prior to July 1 of each year. Changes to the billing for January
 June will be due the following January, without interest.

- c. Each of TeleCable's respective Licensing Agreement for Pole

 Attachments (the "License Agreements") shall be deemed

 amended without the necessity of (1) signing any further

 amendments to such agreement or (2) any written notices.
- d. Duke Power Company agrees to refund to TeleCable
 \$145,591.24 within ten (10) days after an order approving this settlement.
- 5. Neither TeleCable nor Duke Power Company will file any pole attachment complaint with the FCC with respect to the pole attachment rates agreed upon above.
- 6. The parties agree that this agreement is a compromise settlement of disputed claims and that this agreement will not be construed as an admission of liability by either party. This agreement shall not provide third parties with any remedy, claim, liability or other right.
- 7. This agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the matters described herein, and all prior agreements, oral or written presentations, statements, understanding, proposals, and undertakings with respect to such matters are superseded and replaced by the provisions of this agreement. This agreement cannot be modified or terminated except by a written document executed by all parties hereto.

31610.1

DRAFT

8. This agreement may be executed in counterparts.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the parties hereby execute this agreement effective as of the date first written above.

Respectfully submitted,

TeleCable of Piedmont, Inc. TeleCable of Spartanburg, Inc. TeleCable of Greenville, Inc.

By:

Paul Glist
Frederick W. Giroux
COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20006

Its Attorneys

Duke Power Company

By:

Shirley S. Fujimoto
Kris Monteith
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
1850 K Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005

Its Attorneys

September ____, 1995

Pole Attachment Rate Refund Calculation

of

Cencom Cable Income Partners, II, L.P.; Cencom Cable Entertainment, Inc.; and Cencom Cable Television, Inc.

The second secon	Parties of the second s		The state of the s	
	ALPERT LEADING			Harris Contact Con White
1991 1/1/91-6/30/91 \$ 4.87 \$ 2.44 7352 1/1/91-6/30/91 \$ 5.16 \$ 2.58 39964	\$ 17,902.12	7352 \$ 16,652.28 \$ 1,56 5 7 39964 \$ 90,518.46 \$ 16 5 7 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		
3333, 3333, 0	7.55 V 2.27	Total for Per.= 1 100	10% 9	
 	The second secon		9% 9	1 0.022438 \$ 318.17 2nd
7/1/91-12/31/91 \$ 5.16 \$ 2.58 40910	\$ 105.547.80 \$ 4.53 \$ 2.27	40910 \$ 92,661.15 \$ 1	9% 9 9% 9	2 0.022685 \$ 621.22 3rd 2 0.022685 \$ 635.31 4th
1992 1/1/92-6/30/92 \$ 5.09 \$ 2.55 49038	\$ 124,801.71 \$ 4.62 \$ 2.31	49038 \$ 113,277 78	8% 9 7% 9	0 0.019726 \$ 792.30 1st 1 0.017452 \$ 714.79 2nd
7/4/02 12/24/02 6 5.00 6 2.55 5047/	20 420 450 05	50470 ft 440 000 d0		
7/1/92-12/31/92 \$ 5.09 \$ 2.55 50479	\$ 128,469.06 \$ 4.62 \$ 2.31	50479 \$ 116,606.49 \$ \$ \$		2 0.017644 \$ 944.56 3rd 2 0.015123 \$ 823.90 4th
1993 1/1/93-6/30/93 \$ 5.09 \$ 2.55 14143	\$ 35,993.94 \$ 4.53 \$ 2.27	14143 \$ 32,033.90	<u> </u>	+
l	\$ 126,040.82 \$ 4.53 \$ 2.27	47473 \$ 107,526.35 \$ 1		
		Total for Per =		0 0.014795 \$ 1.150.68 1st 0 0.014959 \$ 1.180.68 2nd
7/1/93-12/31/93 \$ 5.31 \$ 2.66 64558	\$ 171,401 49 \$ 4.53 \$ 2.27	64558 \$ 146,223.87	6%;	92 0 015123 \$ 1,592.28 3rd
77 (193-12/31/93 \$ 3.51 \$ 2.00 04330	3 3 171,401 49 3 4.53 5 2.27	04330 \$ (40,223.07 ***	6%	0.015123 \$ 1,592.26 31d 12 0.015123 \$ 1,616.36 4th
1994 1/1/94-6/30/94 \$ 5.31 \$ 2.66 17635	5 \$ 46,820.93 \$ 5.15 \$ 2.58	17635 \$ 45,410.13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	\$ 111,200.97 \$ 5.15 \$ 2.58	40958 \$ 105,466.85 \$ 5		
		Total for Per =	W	0.014795 \$ 1,710.84 1st 0.014959 \$ 1,755.44 2nd
7/1/94-12/31/94 \$ 5.43 \$ 2.72 53144	4 \$ 144,285.96			
\$ 0.12 \$ 0.06 25302	2 \$ 1,518.12			
	\$ 145,804.08 \$ 5.15 \$ 2.58	53144 \$ 136,845.80 \$ 6.60.00		92 0.017644 \$ 2,259.55 3rd 92 0.017644 \$ 2,299.42 4th
1995 1/1/95-6/30/95 \$ 5.43 \$ 2.72 53975	5 \$ 146,542.13 \$ 5.16 \$ 2.58	52075 ¢ 420.255.50 \$ 23.20075		
1990 11190-010190 \$ 0.40 \$ 2.12 53915	5 \$ 146,542.13 \$ 5.16 \$ 2.58	53975 \$ 139,255.50 \$ 7,266.60 \$150.00		90 0.014795 \$ 2,069.91 1st 91 0.014959 \$ 2,123.87 2nd
	+	Total Principal \$121,153.60		Total Interest \$22,950.50
Total Amounts Paid	\$1,263,632.15	TOTAL REFUND AMOUNT \$143.10.50		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Heather Roberts, a legal secretary with the law firm of Cole, Raywid & Braverman, L.L.P., do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent via first-class, postage pre-paid, United States mail, this 13th day of September, 1995, to the following:

Hon. John M. Frysiak*
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street N.W. Room 223
Washington, D.C. 20554

Duke Power Company 422 S. Church Street Charlotte, NC 28242 Attn: Rowe Hass

George E. Johnson*
Jon Reel*
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street N.W., Room 257
Washington, D.C. 20554

Shirley S. Fujimoto Kris Monteith McDermott, Will & Emery 1850 K Street N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

Heather Roberts

*Via Hand Delivery